

WITNESS STATEMENT

(CJ Act 1967, s.9; MC Act 1980, ss.5A(3)(a) and 5B; MC Rules 1981, r.70)

Statement of Michael SULLIVAN URN: [] [] [] []

Age if under 18 Over 18 (if over 18 insert 'over 18') Occupation: POLICE OFFICER

This statement (consisting of: 2..... pages each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated anything in it which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature: [Handwritten Signature] Date: 8th June 2017

Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded [] (supply witness details on rear)

I am a Police Constable of 12 years experience, all of which I have worked on the London Borough of Brent, I am currently attached to the licensing unit for the Borough, I have been authorised via a delegated authority to represent the Borough Commander of the London Borough of Brent and for the Commissioner of the Metropolitan Police Service to act on Licensing matters.

This is a further statement in relation to Jet Set night club, 252, High Street Harlesden, NW10 4TD, On page 4 of my review dated the 12/04/2017 I refer to CAD 2020 dated the 29/10/2016. I have stated that police called to the venue to deal with drunk and aggressive revellers, I have now received an email from Acting Inspector Riley (MJS/4) who was on duty on this night and attended the location, the actual venue of the incident was further down the road, however the patrons from Jet Set were cause problems and threatening officer and trying to enter a venue that was now a crime scene. I also include in my supplementary bundle three further CADS linked to the one referred to in my review, CAD 2020. These are 1480, 1847, and 5656, all dated the 29/10/2016.

Also in my review on page three in reference to Crime 1928448/15 I make reference to door staff assaulting and robbing the victim, I would like to amend this comment to read that it is only alleged that door staff assaulted and robbed the victim, as no door staff have been arrested or charged with either of these offences. Looking at the history of crimes that occur at in and around the venue it is apparent that most happen in the early hours of the morning when police and other emergency services are already limited and stretched, the crime reports mentioned in my review are all in the very early hours of the morning mainly between 03.00 and 04.00 hours which is when then club is preparing to close. It is also clear that most of the crimes are resulted in no further action due to the victims not wanting to substantiate the allegation, there also appears to be lot of drunkenness involved around these crimes.

In crime report 1928093/15 it has been recorded that the victim had a lot to drink.

Crime report 1928382/15 mentions that the suspect had a Champagne bottle and threw it at the victim, it also goes on to say the victim consumed alcohol, this appears to have affected how much detail she was able to give to the police about the incident.

Crime report 1928448/15 police office states that the victim was very drunk and was the main aggressor in one of the fights; the victim also mentions that he bought a bottle of whiskey and was drinking it with his two friends and his brother, he also states that he was assaulted and robbed the the security staff.


In crime report 1910534/16 the reporting officer states that the SIA (Doormen) refused to give statements assiting police with the investigation, during the interview one of the suspects stated that he had been hit with a bottle, he goes on to say that he was under the influence of alcohol, and did not know why he picked up the weapon, he is then asked what his reason for having it was, to which he replies 'Ma'am I don't know as I was drunk' the victim also makes reference to being assaulted by door staff.

Signature: [Handwritten Signature] Signature witnessed by:

Continuation of Statement of

Crime report 1905465/17 the victim states that he was hit with a glass bottle, and robbed of his gold chains.

It appears to me that there is a problem with drunkenness at the venue which affects peoples judgment, and leads to disorder, victims are then unable to give a proper account of what has happened, the lack of management at the venue is clear and they seem to have no dispersal policy in place, there are also concerns around the door supervisors, there are allegations of them assaulting patrons, and of not providing the police with evidence to support an offence, it is apparent that the club has not improved from the first two reviews, and have done little to implement the conditions on the licence, or uphold the licensing objectives.

Signature:  Signature witnessed by: